Task Force

- Reviewed progress of the Task Force
- Report on the ACCJC Workshop, especially information that pertains to Program Review
- Forms
  - Too long for an annual review
  - There should be something that allows for only changes to the previous year
  - Should be a quick snapshot of what happens
  - Comprehensive should be a compilation of the annuals
  - Links were not useful
  - More challenging than the comprehensive
  - Rubrics were necessary, but they are not clear nor simple and do not take into account the number of students affected
  - Simplify the rubrics so that they make sense: 4 point scale rather than 6 point. Should also address the number of students that it affects.
  - Get expert opinions through IMC, IT. Data should be included but won’t be possible for this first round - we should direct people to data sources. Guidelines for data to rationalize requests
- Flowchart with the three types of program review, going to the participatory governance council, then to the Standards. PGC should contain: President, VPAA, VPSS, VPBS, Deans, Standards Chairs, Academic Senate reps. After standards, goes back to PGC and Town Hall meetings. Then it goes finally to administrators for decisions.