Program Review Prioritization Process
CPRs and APRs

1. Instructional and Administrative Program Reviews are completed by faculty/departments.
2. Program Reviews are submitted by faculty to departments. Where to administrative program reviews go? DOI?
3. Program Reviews go to APC
   a. APC = Department Chairs, ???
4. APC Prioritizes Program Reviews, removes any duplicate requests (copy machine example), etc.
5. APC sends Program Reviews to ‘Participatory Governance Council’
   a. PGC =
      i. DOI (chair)
      ii. Academic Senate rep
      iii. ASMVC rep
      iv. Standard co-chairs (8 people, includes faculty & staff, eliminating the need for a separate fac/staff co-chair)
6. ‘PGC’ sends prioritized list of Program Reviews to 4 subcommittees/standards
   a. This allows everyone in the ‘PGC’ a chance to vet the program reviews, communicate to them
7. The 4 subcommittees send the new/not new prioritized program reviews back to the APC
8. The APC makes the recommendations to the President
9. President to the Chancellor
10. Chancellor to the BOT

- On the Norco Prioritization Process, the 4 subcommittees take the place of Norco’s Student Services Planning Council, Business & Facilities Planning Council, and Academic Planning Council.
- Norco had the SPC conduct Open Hearings. I’m not sure MVC folks would attend something like this and I’m not clear what the purpose of this open hearing is in Norco’s process. It’s not clear if people have a chance to make changes at this point, or if it’s just an information open hearing.
- If we wanted, we could hold an informational town hall meeting simultaneously as the APC is giving the information to the President.
- I’m not as familiar with the CPR’s, but maybe the CPR’s and the APR’s could use the same process.
- This same process could potentially be used for strategic planning purposes, that is, things that come up that are not tied to program reviews.
- Once a process is established, vetted, accepted, maybe Standard I could be charged with re-writing the SPC by-laws to coincide with the new structure/process, is that is applicable of course.
- Question: What happens if the President disagrees with the recommendations that come forth from this process? What process will s/he use to communicate that to the college? Is this ‘PGC’ going to act as a recommending body like the current SPC structure is set up?